

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/538,083	06/08/2005	Gianfranco Adele Cainelli	27419/240	1463
7590 04/02/2007 Nixon Peabody Clinton Square PO Box 31051 Rochester, NY 14603-1051			EXAMINER	
			BADIO, BARBARA P	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1617	
SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD OF RESPONSE		MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
3 MOI	NTHS	04/02/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/538,083	CAINELLI ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Barbara P. Badio, Ph.D.	1617			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period v - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be to will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the application to become ABANDON	incly filed In the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	action is non-final.				
Disposition of Claims					
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-37 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-37 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	wn from consideration. r election requirement.				
9)⊠ The specification is objected to by the Examine 10)□ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)□ acc	•	Fxaminer			
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	tion is required if the drawing(s) is o	bjected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applica rity documents have been receiv u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	tion No ved in this National Stage			
Attachment(s) 1) \(\sum \) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🗖 Interface Success	ov (BTO 412)			
Notice of References Cited (PTO-992) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6/8/2005.	4) Interview Summar Paper No(s)/Mail I 5) Notice of Informal 6) Other:	Date			

First Office Action on the Merits

Information Disclosure Statement

1. The information disclosure statement filed June 8, 2005 fails to comply with 37 CFR 1.98(a)(2), which requires a legible copy of each cited foreign patent document; each non-patent literature publication or that portion which caused it to be listed; and all other information or that portion which caused it to be listed. It has been placed in the application file, but the information referred to therein has not been considered.

Specification

2. The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: the presence of illustrations in the descriptive portion of the specification (see MPEP § 608.01 (VI).

Appropriate correction is required.

Note: Drawings are requested in accordance with 37 CFR 1.81 and a "Brief Description of the Drawings" should be incorporated into the specification.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 3. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 - The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 4. Claims 1-37 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Application/Control Number: 10/538,083 Page 3

Art Unit: 1617

The instant claims are indefinite for the following reasons:

(a) The recitation of "preferably" in claim 1 renders it indefinite because it is unclear whether the limitations following are part of the claimed invention. See MPEP § 2173.05(d).

- (b) Claims 3 and 6 recite but do not identify said formula (III) or variables X, Y, Z, R, R" and R".
- (c) Claim 3 recites (i) "said aldehydes being **possibly** in the form of acetal" and (ii) said reaction being **possibly** carried out in the presence of strong mineral acids". It is unclear what is intended by the use of the term "possibly". Is the aldehyde in the form of an acetal? If not, what form is it in? Also, is the reaction in the presence of a strong acid? If not, what reagent(s) is involved in the process?
- (d) Claim 4 recites "the strong mineral acid, when present, is hydrochloric acid".

 As stated above in (c), if a strong mineral acid is not utilized in the process, what reagent(s) is utilized in the claimed process.
- (e) Claims 2, 8-10, 12-14, 16-20, 22-24, 26-28, 30-32, 34 and 36 recite compounds having a number in parenthesis after each compound. It is unclear what is intended by said numbers.

Telephone Inquiry

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Barbara P. Badio, Ph.D. whose telephone number is 571-272-0609. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F from 6:30am-4:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Sreenivasan Padmanabhan can be reached on 571-272-0629. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Barbara P. Badio, Ph.D.

Primary Examiner

Art Unit 1617

BB

March 29, 2007